

Statutory body under an Act of Parliament
(Under the jurisdiction of Ministry of Corporate Affairs)

SUPPLEMENT PROFESSIONAL PROGRAMME

INSOLVENCY-LAW AND PRCTICE

(Supplement covers amendments/developments from August 2021 to May 2023)

MODULE 3

Elective PAPER 9.5

CONTENTS

Lesson No.	Lesson Name	Page No.
4	Insolvency Resolution of Corporate Persons	3-5
8	Voluntary Liquidation of Companies	6
17	Professional and Ethical Practices for Insolvency Practitioners	7-13
	Case Laws	14-18

Lesson 4

Insolvency Resolution of Corporate Persons

Communication to Creditors

Regulation 6A of the IBBI (Insolvency Resolution Process for Corporate Persons) Regulations, 2016 provides that the interim resolution professional shall send a communication along with a copy of public announcement made under regulation 6, to all the creditors as per the last available books of accounts of the corporate debtor through post or electronic means wherever the information for communication is available. Provided that where it is not possible to send a communication to creditors, the public announcement made under regulation 6 shall be deemed to be the communicated to such creditors.

Meetings of the Committee

Regulation 18(1) of the IBBI (Insolvency Resolution Process for Corporate Persons) Regulations, 2016 states that a resolution professional may convene a meeting of the committee as and when he considers necessary.

As per Regulation 18(2) a resolution professional may convene a meeting, if he considers it necessary, on a request received from members of the committee and shall convene a meeting if the same is made by members of the committee representing at least thirty-three per cent of the voting rights.

It may be noted that meeting (s) may be convened under this sub-regulation till the resolution plan is approved under section 31(1) or order for liquidation is passed under section 33 and decide on matters which do not affect the resolution plan submitted before the Adjudicating Authority.

A resolution professional may place a proposal received from members of the committee in a meeting, if he considers it necessary and shall place the proposal if the same is made by members of the committee representing at least thirty-three per cent of the voting rights.

Regulatory Fee

Regulation 31A of the IBBI (Insolvency Resolution Process for Corporate Persons) Regulations, 2016 provides that a regulatory fee calculated at the rate of 0.25 per cent of the realisable value to creditors under the resolution plan approved under section 31, shall be payable to the Board, where such realisable value is more than the liquidation value: Provided that this sub-regulation shall be applicable where resolution plan is approved under section 31, on or after 1st October 2022.

Explanation: For removal of doubts, it is hereby clarified that the regulatory fee under this subregulation, shall not be payable in cases where the approved resolution plan in respect of

insolvency resolution of a real estate project is from an association or group of allottees in such real estate project.

A regulatory fee calculated at the rate of one per cent of the cost being booked in insolvency resolution process costs in respect of hiring any professional or other services by the interim resolution professional or resolution professional, as the case may be, for assistance in a corporate insolvency resolution process, shall be payable to the Board, in the manner as specified in regulation (7)(2) (cb) of Insolvency and Bankruptcy Board of India (Insolvency Professionals) Regulations, 2016.

Fee to be paid to Interim Resolution Professional and Resolution Professional

Regulation 34B of the IBBI (Insolvency Resolution Process for Corporate Persons) Regulations, 2016 states that the fee of interim resolution professional or resolution professional, under regulation 33 and 34, shall be decided by the applicant or committee in accordance with this regulation.

The fee of the interim resolution professional or the resolution professional, appointed on or after 1st October 2022, shall not be less than the fee specified in clause 1 for the period specified in clause 2 of Schedule-II of IBBI (Insolvency Resolution Process for Corporate Persons) Regulations.

Provided that the applicant or the committee may decide to fix higher amount of fee for the reasons to be recorded, taking into consideration market factors such as size and scale of business operations of corporate debtor, business sector in which corporate debtor operates, level of operating economic activity of corporate debtor and complexity related to process.

After the expiry of period mentioned in clause 2 of Schedule-II, the fee of the interim resolution professional or resolution professional shall be as decided by the applicant or committee, as the case may be.

For the resolution plan approved by the committee on or after 1st October 2022, the committee may decide, in its discretion, to pay performance-linked incentive fee, not exceeding five crore rupees, in accordance with clause 3 and clause 4 of Schedule-II or may extend any other performance-linked incentive structure as it deems necessary.

The fee under this regulation may be paid from the funds, available with the corporate debtor, contributed by the applicant or members of the committee and/or raised by way of interim finance and shall be included in the insolvency resolution process cost.

Strategy for Marketing of Assets of the Corporate Debtor

According to Regulation 36C of the IBBI (Insolvency Resolution Process for Corporate Persons) Regulations, 2016, the resolution professional shall prepare a strategy for marketing of the assets of the corporate debtor in consultation with the committee, where the total assets as per the last available financial statements exceed one hundred crore rupees and may prepare such strategy in other cases.

Decision of implementing such strategy along with its cost shall be subject to the approval of the committee. The member(s) of committee may also take measures for marketing of the assets of the corporate debtor.

Assessment of Compromise or Arrangement.

Regulation 39BA(1) of the IBBI (Insolvency Resolution Process for Corporate Persons) Regulations, 2016 states that while deciding to liquidate the corporate debtor under section 33, the committee shall examine whether to explore compromise or arrangement as referred to under sub - regulation (1) of regulation 2B of the Insolvency and Bankruptcy Board of India (Liquidation Process) Regulation, 2016 and the resolution professional shall submit the committee's recommendation to the Adjudicating Authority while filing application under section 33

Where a recommendation has been made under sub-regulation (1), the resolution professional and the committee shall keep exploring the possibility of compromise or arrangement during the period the application to liquidate the corporate debtor is pending before the Adjudicating Authority.

Lesson 8

Voluntary Liquidation of Companies

IBBI (Voluntary Liquidation Process) Regulations, 2017apply to the voluntary liquidation of corporate persons under Chapter V of Part II of the Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code, 2016.

To enable better participation of stakeholders and streamline the liquidation process to reduce delays and realise better value, Insolvency and Bankruptcy Board of India amended the Liquidation Regulations with the following major modifications:

- ➤ The Committee of Creditors (CoC) constituted during Corporate Insolvency Resolution Process (CIRP) shall function as Stakeholders Consultation Committee (SCC) in the first 60 days. After adjudication of claims and within 60 days of initiation of process, the SCC shall be reconstituted based upon admitted claims.
- ➤ The liquidator has been mandated to conduct the meetings of SCC in a structured and time bound manner with better participation of stakeholders.
- The scope of mandatory consultation by liquidator, with SCC has been enlarged. Now, SCC may even propose replacement of liquidator to the Adjudicating Authority (AA) and fix the fees of liquidator, if the CoC did not fix the same during CIRP.
- ➤ If any claim is not filed during liquidation process, then the amount of claim collated during CIRP shall be verified by the liquidator.
- ➤ Wherever the CoC decides that the process of compromise or arrangement may be explored during liquidation process, the liquidator shall file application only in such cases before Adjudicating Authority for considering the proposal of compromise or arrangement, if any, within thirty days of the order of liquidation.
- > Specific event-based timelines have been stipulated for auction process.
- ➤ Before filing of an application for dissolution or closure of the process, SCC shall advice the liquidator, the manner in which proceedings in respect of avoidance transactions or fraudulent or wrongful trading, shall be pursued after closure of liquidation proceedings.
- ➤ The Amendment Liquidation Regulations and Amendment Voluntary Liquidation Regulations further lay down the manner and period of retention of records relating to liquidation and voluntary liquidation of a corporate debtor or corporate person, respectively.

Lesson 17

Professional and Ethical Practices for Insolvency Practitioners

Eligibility for Registration of Insolvency Professionals

Regulation 4(1) of the IBBI (Insolvency Professionals) Regulations, 2016 provides that no individual shall be eligible to be registered as an insolvency professional if he-

- a) is a minor;
- b) is not a person resident in India;
- c) does not have the qualification and experience specified in Regulation 5 or Regulation 9, as the case may be;
- d) has been convicted by any competent court for an offence punishable with imprisonment for a term exceeding six months or for an offence involving moral turpitude, and a period of five years has not elapsed from the date of expiry of the sentence:

 Provided that if a person has been convicted of any offence and sentenced in respect thereof to imprisonment for a period of seven years or more, he shall not be eligible to be registered;
- e) he is an undischarged insolvent, or has applied to be adjudicated as an insolvent;
- f) he has been declared to be of unsound mind; or
- g) he is not a fit and proper person; Explanation:
- h) For determining whether an individual is fit and proper under these Regulations, the Board may take account of any consideration as it deems fit, including but not limited to the following criteria-
 - (i) integrity, reputation and character,
 - (ii) absence of convictions and restraint orders, and
 - (iii) competence, including financial solvency and net worth.

No insolvency professional entity, recognised by the Board under regulation 13, shall be eligible to be registered as an insolvency professional, if the entity and/or any of its partner or director, as the case may be, is not fit and proper person under clause (g)(i).

Recognition of Insolvency Professional Entities

Regulation 12 of the IBBI (Insolvency Professionals) Regulations, 2016 states that a company, a registered partnership firm or a limited liability partnership may be recognised as an insolvency professional entity, if –

- (a) its objective is to provide support services to insolvency professionals or to carry on the activities of an insolvency professional or both.
- (b) it has a net worth of not less than one crore rupees;
- (c) majority of its equity shares and voting rights are held by insolvency professionals, who are its directors, in case it is a company,
- (d) majority of capital contribution is made by insolvency professionals, who are its partners, in case it is a limited liability partnership firm or a registered partnership firm;
- (e) majority of its partners or directors, as the case may be, are insolvency professionals;
- (f) majority of its whole-time directors are insolvency professionals; in case it is a company; and
- (g) none of its partners or directors is a partner or a director of another insolvency professional entity.

It may be noted that 'net worth' means- (i) the net worth as defined under section 2(57) of the Companies Act, 2013 in case of a company; (ii) sum of partners' contribution in the capital account and their undistributed profits net of accumulated losses, if any, in case of a registered partnership firm or limited liability partnership.

CODE OF CONDUCT FOR INSOLVENCY PROFESSIONALS

Integrity and Objectivity

- 1. An insolvency professional must maintain integrity by being honest, straightforward, and forthright in all professional relationships.
- 2. An insolvency professional must not misrepresent any facts or situations and should refrain from being involved in any action that would bring disrepute to the profession.
- 3. An insolvency professional must act with objectivity in his professional dealings by ensuring that his decisions are made without the presence of any bias, conflict of interest, coercion, or undue influence of any party, whether directly connected to the insolvency proceedings or not.
- 3A. An insolvency professional must disclose the details of any conflict of interests to the stakeholders, whenever he comes across such conflict of interest during an assignment.
- 4. An insolvency professional appointed as an interim resolution professional, resolution professional, liquidator, or bankruptcytrustee should not himself acquire, directly or indirectly, any of the assets of the debtor, nor knowingly permit any relative to do so.

Independence and Impartiality

- 5. An insolvency professional must maintain complete independence in his professional relationships and should conduct the insolvency resolution, liquidation or bankruptcy process, as the case may be, independent of external influences.
- 6. In cases where the insolvency professional is dealing with assets of a debtor during liquidation or bankruptcy process, he must ensure that he or his relatives do not knowingly acquire any such assets, whether directly or indirectly unless it is shown that there was no

- impairment of objectivity, independence or impartiality in the liquidation or bankruptcy process and the approval of the Board has been obtained in the matter.
- 7. An insolvency professional shall not take up an assignment under the Code if he, any of his relatives, any of the partners or directors of the insolvency professional entity of which he is a partner or director, or the insolvency professional entity of which he is a partner or director is not independent, in terms of the regulations related to the processes under the Code, in relation to the corporate person/ debtor and its related parties.
- 8. An insolvency professional shall disclose the existence of any pecuniary or personal relationship with any of the stakeholders entitled to distribution under sections 53 or 178 of the Code, and the concerned corporate person/ debtor as soon as he becomes aware of it, by making a declaration of the same to the applicant, committee of creditors, and the person proposing appointment, as applicable.
- 8A. An insolvency professional shall disclose as to whether he was an employee of or has been in the panel of any financial creditor of the corporate debtor, to the committee of creditors and to the insolvency professional agency of which he is a professional member and the agency shall publish such disclosure on its website.
- 8B. An insolvency professional shall disclose its relationship, if any, with the corporate debtor, other professionals engaged by it, financial creditors, interim finance providers, and prospective resolution applicants to the insolvency professional agency of which he is a member, within the time specified hereunder:

Relationship of the insolvency professional with	Disclosure to be made within three days of
(1)	(2)
Corporate debtor	his appointment.
Registered valuers / accountants/ legal professionals/ other professionals appointed by him	appointment of the professionals.
Financial creditors	the constitution of committee of creditors.
Interim finance providers	the agreement with the interim finance provider.
Prospective resolution applicant	the supply of information memorandum to the prospective resolution applicant.
If relationship with any of the above, comes to notice or arises subsequently	of such notice or arising.

8C. An insolvency professional shall ensure disclosure of the relationship, if any, of the other professionals engaged by it with itself, the corporate debtor, the financial creditor, the interim finance provider, if any, and the prospective resolution applicant, to the insolvency professional agency of which he is a member, within the time specified as under:

Relationship of the other professional with	Disclosure to be made within three days
	of
(1)	(2)
Insolvency professional	the appointment of the other professional.
Corporate debtor	the appointment of the other professional.
Financial creditors	constitution of committee of creditors.
Interim finance providers	the agreement with the interim finance provider or three days of the appointment of the other professional, whichever is later.
Prospective resolution applicants	the supply of information memorandum to the prospective resolution applicant or three days of the appointment of the other professional, whichever is later.
If relationship with any of the above, comes to notice or arises subsequently	of such notice or arising.

Explanation: For the purposes of clause 8B and 8C above, 'relationship' shall mean any one or more of the following four kinds of relationships at any time or during the three years preceding the appointment of other professionals:

Kind of	Nature of relationship
relationship	
(1)	(2)
A	Where the insolvency professional or the other professional, as the case may be, has derived 5% or more of his / its gross revenue in a year from professional services to the related party.
В	Where the insolvency professional or the other professional, as the case may be, is a shareholder, director, key managerial personnel or partner of the related party.
С	Where a relative (spouse, parents, parents of spouse, sibling of self and spouse, and children) of the insolvency professional or the other professional, as the case may be, has a relationship of kind A or B with the related party.
D	Where the insolvency professional or the other professional, as the case may be, is a partner or director of a company, firm or LLP, such as, an insolvency professional entity or registered valuer, the relationship of kind A, B or C of every partner or director of such company, firm or LLP with the related party.

- 8D. An insolvency professional shall ensure timely and correct disclosures by it, and other professionals appointed by it and shall provide a confirmation to the insolvency professional agency of which he is a professional member to the effect that the appointment, if any, of every other professional has been made at arms' length relationship.
- 9. An insolvency professional shall not influence the decision or the work of the committee of creditors or debtor, or other stakeholders under the Code, so as to make any undue or unlawful gains for itself or its related parties, or cause any undue preference for any other persons for undue or unlawful gains and shall not adopt any illegal or improper means to achieve any mala fide objectives.

Professional Competence

10. An insolvency professional must maintain and upgrade his professional knowledge and skills to render competent professional service.

Representation of Correct Facts and Correcting Misapprehensions

- 11. An insolvency professional must inform such persons under the Code as may be required, of a misapprehension or wrongful consideration of a fact of which he becomes aware, as soon as may be practicable.
- 12. An insolvency professional must not conceal any material information or knowingly make a misleading statement to the Board, the adjudicating authority or any stakeholder, as applicable.

Timeliness

- 13. An insolvency professional must adhere to the time limits prescribed in the Code and the rules, regulations and guidelines thereunder for insolvency resolution, liquidation or bankruptcy process, as the case may be, and must carefully plan its actions, and promptly communicate with all stakeholders involved for the timely discharge of its duties.
- 14. An insolvency professional must not act with mala fide or be negligent while performing its functions and duties under the Code.

Information Management

- 15. An insolvency professional must make efforts to ensure that all communication to the stakeholders, whether in the form of notices, reports, updates, directions, or clarifications, is made well in advance and in a manner which is simple, clear, and easily understood by the recipients.
- 15A. An insolvency professional shall prominently state in all its communications to a stakeholder, its name, address, e-mail, registration number and validity of authorisation for assignment, if any, issued by the insolvency professional agency of which he is a member.

- 16. An insolvency professional must ensure that he maintains written contemporaneous records for any decisiontaken, the reasons for taking the decision, and the information and evidence in support of such decision. this shall be maintained so as to sufficiently enable a reasonable person to take a view on the appropriateness of its decisions and actions.
- 17. An insolvency professional must not make any private communication with any of the stakeholders unless required by the Code, rules, regulations and guidelines thereunder, or orders of the adjudicating authority.
- 18. An insolvency professional must appear, co-operate and be available for inspections and investigations carried out by the Board, any person authorised by the Board or the insolvency professional agency with which he isenrolled.
- 19. An insolvency professional must provide all information and records as may be required by the Board or the insolvency professional agency with which he is enrolled.
- 20. An insolvency professional must be available and provide information for any periodic study, research and audit conducted by the Board.

Confidentiality

21. An insolvency professional must ensure that confidentiality of the information relating to the insolvency resolution process, liquidation or bankruptcy process, as the case may be, is maintained at all times. However, this shall not prevent it from disclosing any information with the consent of the relevant parties or required by law.

Occupation, Employability and Restrictions

- 22. An insolvency professional must refrain from accepting too many assignments, if he is unlikely to be able to devote adequate time to each of his assignments. Clarification: An insolvency professional may, at any point of time, not have more than ten assignments as resolution professional in corporate insolvency resolution process, of which not more than three shall have admitted claims exceeding one thousand crore rupees each.
- 23. An insolvency professional must not engage in any employment when he holds a valid authorisation for assignment or when he is undertaking an assignment.
- 23A. Where an insolvency professional has conducted a corporate insolvency resolution process, he and his relativesshall not accept any employment, other than an employment secured through open competitive recruitment, with, or render professional services, other than services under the Code, to a creditor having more than ten percent voting power, the successful resolution applicant, the corporate debtor or any of their related parties, until a period of one year has elapsed from the date of his cessation from such process.
- 23B. An insolvency professional shall not engage or appoint any of his relatives or related parties, for or in connection with any work relating to any of his assignment.
- 23C. An insolvency professional shall not provide any service for or in connection with the

- assignment which is being undertaken by any of his relatives or related parties.
- Explanation For the purpose of clauses 23A to 23C, "related party" shall have the same meaning as assigned to it in clause (24a) of section 5, but does not include an insolvency professional entity of which the insolvency professional is a partner or director.
- 24. An insolvency professional must not conduct business which in the opinion of the Board is inconsistent with the reputation of the profession.

Remuneration and Costs

- 25. An insolvency professional must provide services for remuneration which is charged in a transparent manner, is a reasonable reflection of the work necessarily and properly undertaken, and is not inconsistent with the applicable regulations.
- 25A. An insolvency professional shall disclose the fee payable to him, the fee payable to the insolvency professional entity, and the fee payable to professionals engaged by him to the insolvency professional agency of which heis a professional member and the agency shall publish such disclosure on its website.
- An insolvency professional shall raise bills or invoices in its name towards its fees, and such fees shall be paid to 86 it through banking channel.
- 25C. An insolvency professional shall ensure that the insolvency professional entity or the professional engaged by it raises bills or invoices in their own name towards their fees, and such fees shall be paid to them through banking channel.
- 26. An insolvency professional shall not accept any fees or charges other than those which are disclosed to and approved by the persons fixing his remuneration.
- 26A. An insolvency professional shall not accept /share any fees or charges from any professional and/or support service provider who are appointed under the processes.
- 27. An insolvency professional shall disclose all costs towards the insolvency resolution process costs, liquidation costs, or costs of the bankruptcy process, as applicable, to all relevant stakeholders, and must endeavour to ensure that such costs are not unreasonable.
- 27A An insolvency professional shall, while undertaking assignment or conducting processes, exercise reasonable care and diligence and take all necessary steps to ensure that the corporate person complies with the applicable laws.
- 27B. An insolvency professional shall not include any amount towards any loss, including penalty, if any, in the insolvency resolution process cost or liquidation cost, incurred on account of non-compliance of any provision of the laws applicable on the corporate person while conducting the insolvency resolution process, fast track insolvency resolution process, liquidation process or voluntary liquidation process, under the Code.

Gifts and Hospitality

- 28. An insolvency professional, or his relative must not accept gifts or hospitality which undermines or affects his independence as an insolvency professional.
- 29. An insolvency professional shall not offer gifts or hospitality or a financial or any other

advantage to a public servant or any other person, intending to obtain or retain work for himself, or to obtain or retain an advantage in the conduct of profession for himself.

CASE LAWS

- In the case of Sunil Kumar Agrawal (Appellant)vs. New Okhla Industrial Development Authority (Respondent) 12th January, 2023, National Company Law Appellate Tribunal, Principal Bench, New Delhi Company Appeal (AT) (Ins.) No. 622 of 2022. Hon'ble National Company Law Appellate Tribunal inter-alia observed that Section 14 of the Code deals with the moratorium and Section 14(1)(d) of the Code says that there would be a prohibition from the recovery of any property by an owner or lessor where such property is occupied by or in the possession of the Corporate Debtor. However, explanation appended to Section 14(1) (d) says that with the prohibition of recovery of any property by an owner or lessor, a license, permit, registration, quota, concession, clearance or a similar grant or right either given by the Central Govt., State Govt. local authority, sectoral regulator or any other authority constituted under any other law for the time being in force, shall not be suspended or terminated on the grounds of insolvency but there would be a condition for its continuation if there is no default in payment of the dues of such license, permit, registration, quota, concession, clearance or a similar grant or right during the moratorium period. The similar grant or right has to be read in respect of the licence, permit, registration, quota, concession, clearance but it cannot be read as the premium amount or lease rent which has been so ordered by the Adjudicating Authority to be paid by the Appellant to the Respondent.
- In the case of Shri Guru Containers (Appellant) vs. Jitendra Palande (Respondent), 2. National Company Law Tribunal, Mumbai Bench Company Appeal (AT) (Insolvency) No.106 of 2023 judgement dated 22/02/2023 Hon'ble National Company Law Tribunal inter alia observed that though the scope of CIRP related work became limited and restricted by the fact that progress got stonewalled due to lack of flow of information and lack of claims, diligence on the part of the IRP in proceeding with the CIRP cannot be found to be wanting. Shifting the entire blame on the IRP on grounds of non-performance of duty and making him the scapegoat does not appear to be justified. It is equally important for the creditors to play a catalytic role in the insolvency resolution process given the present regime of creditordriven IBC. The rigours of similar standards of discipline should also apply on the creditors. This is clearly a case where the CIRP process was being hindered due to want of cooperation and participation from the creditors. The conduct of the Operational Creditor in the present case is deprecatory in that once the CIRP process had commenced, the Operational Creditor went into a sleeping mode. This position has been further aggravated by the fact that it was the Appellant/Operational Creditor who had triggered this judicial process and then abdicated himself from all responsibilities. That the Operational Creditor did not seem interested in resolution of the Corporate Debtor is evident from the fact that till date no claim has been filed with the IRP.
- 3. In the matter of *Vallal RCK Vs. M/s Siva Industries and Holdings Limited and Ors. [Civil Appeal Nos. 1811-1812 of 2022]* the Hon'ble Supreme Court in its judgment dated 3rd June, 2022 observed that Section 12A was brought on the basis of the Insolvency Law Committee's Report. Though by the Amendment Act No. 26 of 2018, the voting share of 75% of CoC for

approval of the resolution plan was brought down to 66%, section 12A of the Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code, 2016 (Code) which was brought by the same amendment, requires the voting share of 90% of CoC for approval of withdrawal of corporate insolvency resolution process (CIRP).

The provisions under section 12A of the Code have been made more stringent as compared to Section 30(4) of the Code. Whereas under section 30(4) of the Code, the voting share of CoC for approving the resolution plan is 66%, the requirement under section 12A of the Code for withdrawal of CIRP is 90%.

When 90% and more of the creditors, in their wisdom after due deliberations, find that it will be in the interest of all the stake-holders to permit settlement and withdraw CIRP, the adjudicating authority or the appellate authority cannot sit in an appeal over the commercial wisdom of CoC.

This Court has consistently held that the commercial wisdom of the CoC has been given paramount status without any judicial intervention for ensuring completion of the stated processes within the timelines prescribed by the IBC. It has been held that there is an intrinsic assumption, that financial creditors are fully informed about the viability of the corporate debtor and feasibility of the proposed resolution plan. They act on the basis of thorough examination of the proposed resolution plan and assessment made by their team of experts.

The interference would be warranted only when the adjudicating authority or the appellate authority finds the decision of the CoC to be wholly capricious, arbitrary, and irrational and de hors (outside) the provisions of the statute or the Rules.

4. In the case of *NOIDA vs. Anand Sonbhadra [Civil Appeal No. 2222, 2367-2369 of 2021] Judgement dated 17th May, 2022,* Hon'ble Supreme Court inter-alia observed that a debt is a liability or an obligation in respect of a right to payment. Irrespective of whether there is adjudication of the breach, if there is a breach of contract, it may give rise to a debt. In the context of section 5(8), disbursement has been understood as money, which has been paid. In the context of the transaction involved in such real estate projects, the homebuyers advance sums to the builder, who would then utilise the amount towards the construction in the real estate project.

What is relevant is to attract section 5(8), on its plain terms, is disbursement. While, it may be true that the word 'transaction' includes transfer of assets, funds or goods and services from or to the corporate debtor, in the context of the principal provisions of section 5(8) of the Code, to import the definition of 'transaction' in section 2(33), involving the need to expand the word 'disbursement', to include a promise to pay money by a debtor to the creditor, will be uncalled for straining of the provisions.

'Debt' means a liability or obligation, which relates to a claim. The claim or right to payment or remedy for breach of contract occasioning a right to payment must be due from any person.

In the lease in question, there has been no disbursement of any debt (loan) or any sums by the NOIDA to the lessee.

The subject matter of section 5(8)(d) is a lease or a hire-purchase contract. It is not any lease or a hire purchase contract, which would entitle the lessor to be treated as the financial creditor. There must be a lease or hire-purchase contract, which is deemed as a finance or capital lease. The law giver has not left the courts free to place, its interpretation on the words 'finance or capital lease'. The legislature has contemplated the finance or a capital lease, which is deemed as such a lease under the Indian Accounting Standards.

The Appellant is not the financial lessor under section 5(8)(d) of the Code. Needless to say, there is always power to amend the provisions which essentially consist of the Indian Accounting Standards in the absence of any rules prescribed under section 5(8)(d) of the Code by the Central Government.

Section 5(8)(f) is a residuary and catch all provision. A lease, which is not a finance or a capital lease under section 5(8)(d), may create a financial debt within the meaning of section 5(8)(f), if, on its terms, the Court concludes that it is a transaction, under which, any amount is raised, having the commercial effect of the borrowing.

The lease in question does not fall within the ambit of section 5(8)(f). This is for the reason that the lessee has not raised any amount from the Appellant under the lease, which is a transaction. The raising of the amount, which, according to the Appellant, constitutes the financial debt, has not taken place in the form of any flow of funds from the Appellant/Lessor, in any manner, to the lessee. The mere permission or facility of moratorium, followed by staggered payment in easy instalments, cannot lead to the conclusion that any amount has been raised, under the lease, from the Appellant, which is the most important consideration. The appeal failed, Supreme Court held that the Appellant is not a Financial Creditor.

However, the Apex court indicated that the Centre can bring a prospective amendment to classify NOIDA as a financial creditor. Hon'ble Justice K.M. Joseph in his initial remark noted that hardly six years old, the Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code (hereinafter referred to as the 'IBC") continues to be a fertile ground to spawn 2 litigation.

5. In the case of *Sunil Kumar Agrawal (Appellant)vs. New Okhla Industrial Development Authority (Respondent) 12th January, 2023,* National Company Law Appellate Tribunal, Principal Bench, New Delhi Company Appeal (AT) (Ins.) No. 622 of 2022, Hon'ble National Company Law Appellate Tribunal inter-alia observed that Section 14 of the Code deals with

the moratorium and Section 14(1)(d) of the Code says that there would be a prohibition from the recovery of any property by an owner or lessor where such property is occupied by or in the possession of the Corporate Debtor. However, explanation appended to Section 14(1) (d) says that with the prohibition of recovery of any property by an owner or lessor, a license, permit, registration, quota, concession, clearance or a similar grant or right either given by the Central Govt., State Govt. local authority, sectoral regulator or any other authority constituted under any other law for the time being in force, shall not be suspended or terminated on the grounds of insolvency but there would be a condition for its continuation if there is no default in payment of the dues of such license, permit, registration, quota, concession, clearance or a similar grant or right during the moratorium period. The similar grant or right has to be read in respect of the licence, permit, registration, quota, concession, clearance but it cannot be read as the premium amount or lease rent which has been so ordered by the Adjudicating Authority to be paid by the Appellant to the Respondent.

- 6. In the matter of *Ms. Ashish Ispat Private Limited Vs Primuss Pipes & Tubes Ltd.*, NCLAT held that when a withdrawal application u/s 12A of the Code is filed prior to constitution of CoC, the requirement of 90% vote of CoC is not applicable, and the Adjudicating Authority has to consider the application without requiring any approval from CoC. Approval of 90% shall be applicable only when Committee of Creditors is constituted and withdrawal application u/s 12A of **IBC** has been filed post that.
- 7. Supreme Court in the matter of *Jaypee Kensington Boulevard Apartments Welfare Association & Ors. Vs. NBCC (India) Ltd. & Ors.* held that:
 - The AA has limited jurisdiction in the matter of approval of a resolution plan. In the adjudicatory process concerning a resolution plan under IBC, NCLT does not have scope for interference with the commercial aspects of the decision of the CoC; and there is no scope for substituting any commercial term of the resolution plan approved by CoC.
 - There is no scope for the NCLT or the NCLAT to proceed on basis of perceptions or to assess the resolution plan on the basis of quantitative analysis. Thus, the treatment of any debt or asset is essentially required to be left to the collective commercial wisdom of the financial creditors.
 - There is no prohibition in the scheme of IBC and CIRP Regulations, that CoC cannot simultaneously consider and vote upon more than one resolution plan at the same time for electing one of the available plans. i.e. CoC can vote upon multiple resolution plans at the same time.
- 8. The Supreme Court in the matter of *Lalit Kumar Jain Vs. Union of India & Ors.* upheld the validity of notification dated November 15, 2019 enforcing the provisions related to

personal guarantor to corporate debtor under the Code. Approval of resolution plan of a corporate debtor undergoing CIRP does not per se operate as a discharge to its surety/guarantor of their liabilities under the contract of guarantee. The nature and extent of liability would depend upon the terms of guarantee.

- 9. In the matter of *Ghanashyam Mishra and Sons Private Limited Vs. Edelweiss Asset Reconstruction Company Limited and Others,* Supreme Court held that:
 - Any debt due to government (Central/State/Local Authority) including statutory dues is covered under the term "Creditor" and in any other case by the term "Other Stakeholders" as provided u/s 31(1) of IBC, 2016 and hence an approved resolution plan is also binding on government.
 - After the approval of Resolution Plan no surprise claim should flung upon the successful resolution applicant. Once a resolution plan is approved by an Adjudicating Authority, the claim forming part of Resolution Plan stands frozen and claims not forming part of Resolution Plan stands extinguished and no one would be entitled to initiate or continue any proceeding in respect of the claim which is not part of the approved Resolution Plan.
 - An approved Resolution Plan is binding upon the Corporate Debtor, its employees, members, creditors, government (Central/State/Local Authority) and any other stakeholder.